Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/621,141	GEHRING, DONALD H.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tara L. Mayo	3671	
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Response to NFOA filed</u>			
(1) <u>Tara L. Mayo</u> .	(3) <u>Donald Gehring</u> .		
(2) <u>James E. Bradley</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 7 March 2007	Time: <u>8:45 AM</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: None	,		
Claims discussed: 1, 3 46			
Prior art documents discussed: Hollowell et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,026,226); Hoss et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,522,680)			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			•
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summation. 	the examiner will provide a writton the record of the substance of the	en summary of the s interview, since the	substance
		·	
			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed amendments to the claims to place the application in condition for allowance, the amendments emphasizing the salient feature of the upper end of the anchor member and the engaging member defining a chamber that varies in volume in response to the heave of the buoyant hull. Applicant declined.